

Feb. 19, 2010 (#518)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Feb. 19, 2010:

CFR and Foundations, Devourers of Nations:

"Rule by Deception is Nothing New,
A Gang of Helpers Led by the Few,
Public Think Governments Make Decisions,
With Revolving Door Come-&-Go Politicians,
But the CFR with Many Odd-Named Branches,
Work Plan into Being, Don't Take Chances,
This Many-Limbed Tree with Funding Foundations,
Its Members, In All Advisory Policy Creations,
Work Intergenerationally, Pushing Amalgamations
Of States into Unions Under United Nations,
A Eugenically Planned Society to Serve Their Needs,
Engineering All Wars, They Advance, We Bleed,
Fearful People Take Refuge in Their Indoctrination,
Leaving Hammer to Fall on Next Generation"

© Alan Watt Feb. 19, 2010

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 19, 2010 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on February 19th 2010. I always suggest that newcomers should look into cuttingthroughthematrix.com web site, bookmark all the other sites I have listed on that front page for future use because sometimes the big servers do go down and if you have them bookmarked you can still pull down the latest shows for free. The official sites I have are [listed above]. Remember too, how talk shows generally work is that the hosts are paid directly, often, by the advertisers. That means you've got to push advertising and so on and bring on guests, etc. There is very good money in it, however, I don't do it that way. The ads you hear on this show go straight to RBN to broadcast this show. It pays for their air time, staff, equipment, and their bills. So it's up to you, the audience, to help support me and keep me going. Lots of folk have tried this in the past and they've always plummeted because generally the public expect everything for free. That's why all the biggies are out there and giving you it for free. It's to get you used to the idea; it never occurs to you, you get a lot of false information in between as well. So, support me and you can do so by buying the books, CDs and DVDs that I have for sale or you can donate to me; they are all listed on cuttingthroughthematrix.com. [Ordering and donation options listed above.] For those who get the disks burned and passed to them, you can get in touch with me at [address above].

I read articles recently about data and how they know at the top that we are so overloaded with data today that it actually makes things EASIER, from the top, to control the public. We are so easily diverted from SO many different articles being released, across the whole planet now, at once, that we can't really *focus* on any one particular thing. Plus, in between that, we have so much entertainment mixed up so that everything becomes kind of surrealistic and nothing is really real anymore. You don't want to do anything about anything in a major way, become involved, because everything is so surrealistic. And because people like me are talking about things that really matter, it doesn't mean you will act on them, unfortunately. It takes INVOLVEMENT from everybody, right down to the individual. *It's the individual that changes things.* The groups, forget it. You give up your own brain when you join a group. Even if you start off with the best of intentions, it WILL be infiltrated immediately, within a few weeks, and steered off in some other direction or some topic that will grossly involve people and they will forget what the original intentions were. That's the music coming so I'll be back with more after this break.

Hi folks. This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, just talking about how we get overwhelmed with data. Data doesn't have to be true or false or even neutral. It's just data. It's bits and bytes that we get downloaded with all the time and we parrot it generally in conversations for a day and then it's forgotten because then the next day you are downloaded with more data.

If you notice today, everything is from ALL over the world. All over the world, which is also a technique in itself because in the old days they used to talk about how news was so localized, they'd keep you wrapped in your own national cocoon. Unless you had shortwave radio and you could listen to other countries, you didn't really know what was going on. It was only those people who were interested in what was going on outside that had short wave radios. Today everyone is on the internet and we have an explosion of information from all over the planet. So much of it really is outside of any of our controls, basically. It's too far away and what can you do? ...and a lot of it is trivia as well, lots and lots and lots of trivia.

Often funny little kinds of trivia or kind of fascinating bits but, again, soon forgotten as your memory becomes overloaded and you start deleting the last lot. FFFlllit... just gone, making room for the new.

We forget this is also A TECHNIQUE that's used by those who still control the nations. They don't want you concentrating on what's happening back home. They keep saying we're GLOBAL, WE'RE GLOBAL, WE'RE GLOBAL... unless they want a war and suddenly they wave the flag and you're national again to get the recruits in. Then you're back to being global again. Just like the UN soldiers that are comprised of NATO and all the other countries, they wear the little green and black berets and red berets when they're national, then they put on a blue one when they become UN for a few weeks, then back to the green one again. It's such a joke really, when you really sit back and just look at the world and the way things are run.

Everybody runs to their own conditioning. The groups that you belong to will have its rules and regulations. It's like the old churches; you left your brain at the door when you walked through. That's what a lot of them demanded unfortunately. That's also the reason a lot of them went down the tubes. YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO USE YOUR BRAIN TO THINK. You must take experiences that you are told about and compare them with your own life's experiences to see if you can go along with it or not. You can't just take someone's word for something and then just run with it. THAT'S how armies work really. You are given orders from the top. You must believe in what you are doing. The first thing you do in the military is to lose your individuality. You cannot be an individual when you're part of THE team that depends on everybody else on the team.

I always try to keep track of the main events and what's behind it, where it's going and all that kind of stuff, and what the wars are for as well, as the world gets standardized under the one SOCIALIST system and it IS a socialist system. You really have to study. You cannot go into this area without studying Professor Carroll Quigley's **Tragedy and Hope**, and the **Anglo-American Establishment**. You cannot study it and understand and put it into context without doing that. You have to realize that men DID sit and plan a century and beyond, of how the world would be run and integrated and the kind of society they wanted to bring into being. They not only planned it, they had the money, the ability and the political contacts - I hate even saying contacts because they PUT the politicians in. The big bankers were IN on it, you see. The Royal Institute of International Affairs, which is the British branch, the mother lodge you might say, for the Council on Foreign Relations, literally was formed with Lord Milner's Group and the Cecil Rhodes Foundation. The Milner Group was comprised pretty well entirely of international bankers and their sons.

They planned the socialist society. To understand what socialism is, it's like communism. There are 3 VERSIONS of communism *according to the class that you are born into*. At the bottom level, most people think WELL, SOCIAL IS A NICE WORD, WE'RE SOCIAL CREATURES AND THEREFORE SOCIALISM MUST MEAN IT'S FOR THE ORDINARY PEOPLE; IT'S GOOD THINGS FOR THE ORDINARY PEOPLE. They don't know to go any further than that. Remember, the Fabian Society, another branch, a specialized branch of this octopus, under the CFR and all the rest of it. The Fabian motto, its actual logo had a WOLF IN SHEEP'S CLOTHING because they needed to use the masses to bring IN their new order of things. They needed to USE the laboring classes and

therefore they gave spokesmen TO the laboring classes that would speak on their behalf and become the leaders. Of course, why is the wolf inside the sheep's clothing? Well, the wolf, really, wanted to bring in a planned society. Once again, you have to see the movie, **The Soviet Story**. You've got to see it. It will show you how they can keep ALL that stuff, all that reality, all that stuff they always knew *in your countries*, from your knowledge for 60-odd, 70 years... and then show you it at the right time, when no one cares. But that's socialism in action. Remember, communism is a socialist doctrine.

They wanted to bring in a controlled society, a planned population where each country would have just the right amount of people born to SERVE the system. It's a world of SERVICE they were going to bring in. Eventually, by using money, they would bring it in and then by substituting money for credits, issued by the government, they could keep control over you and eventually you wouldn't need the credits. This is what they believed. You could literally be born and be *CONDITIONED to be happy to serve the state*, the world state. You'll see George Bernard Shaw on **The Soviet Story** saying that YOU, ALL OF YOU WILL HAVE TO COME TO US TO JUSTIFY WHY WE SHOULD KEEP YOU ALIVE. See, no one would be unemployed in their system; that is true. Mind you, a lot of folk wouldn't get born either because they wouldn't need what they would call '*the excess*' to serve their purposes. It's a RUTHLESS organization... but they always use the bottom classes, the disaffected groups, the fringe groups to bring them into power AND THEN they come out with the IRON FIST and that's where we are today with the iron fist. Mind you, we are distracted by all the stuff that's going on all over the world and daily events.

I mentioned about how the European Union was the first amalgamation they were going to have. Remember that Karl Marx talked about this too, in the 1800s, the exact same thing. So obviously the Royal Institute of International Affairs was NOT a first-time organization. It CAME from a PRE-EXISTING organization and Marxism was one of them. They said that they'd bring in a North American Union AFTER the European Union. There would also be other unions but mainly a Far-Eastern Group, possibly even under the control of China, long before China ever got any factories up on the go. Everything is long-term planning, long-range planning.

The North American Union I've mentioned before. They did the first public signing, not the first signing but the first *public* signing in 2005. I'm going to put some links up on my web site, cuttingthroughthematrix.com tonight for you to look at yourself and for those that have forgotten all about it to go back and remember. The first one is just a simple Google search called **Building A North American Community**. That was the title of the Council on Foreign Relations' agenda, which they PRESENTED TO Congress and to the Canadian Parliament and the Mexican Parliament. The three leaders of the countries signed it, the first part, in 2005. They said that the final one would be done, one every year but the final one in 2010. That was their target initially for total integration. The CFR came out on Canadian television when the first signing was done in 2005, the Global Television and the CBC broadcast it. A couple of reporters stood up in the audience at the time and said, IS THIS TO BE A DUPLICATE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION with its own Parliament and all the rest of it. Of course, they fudged and hedged about that but that IS the intention of it.

There is an article here that came out from The Raw Story initially and then Blacklisted News have it in their web site too.

Former Mexican foreign minister calls for 'North American union', unified currency

By Stephen C. Webster / Tuesday, February 16th, 2010 / rawstory.com

Prolific Mexican politician and intellectual Jorge Castañeda believes that a greater North American community -- a "North American Union" -- with economies tied together under a European Union-style system, complete with open borders and a unified currency, is the wave of the future. (*Alan: This is a top CFR member too, remember.*)

In a new interview with Web site BigThink.com, Castañeda, Mexico's foreign minister from 2000-2003 and a global distinguished professor of politics at New York University, said that with **nearly 11 percent of Mexicans living in the United States**, he has stopped seeing his nation as a Latin American country.

"Well, my sense is that we're moving closer and closer to forms of economic integration with the United States and Canada and conceivably Central America and Caribbean could become part of that in the coming years," he said. (*A: Well, he knows that, you see. When they did the Free Trade negotiations back in the 80s and into the early 90s - and I have documents from that and from the newspapers - they discussed that THEN. That's why, from the Caribbean in fact, they didn't need passports to come into Canada, etc. They were already grouped under this new union. So they were already putting it ahead back in the 80s, for those who didn't know.*) "I don't see Mexico as a Latin American country. **Too much of trade, investment, tourism, immigration, remittances, absolutely everything is concentrated exclusively with the United States.** So, Mexico has to be part of a North American community, a North American union, which at some point probably should include some type of monetary union along European lines with a free flow of labor, with energy being on the table, etc." (*A: I'll be back with more on this union that most of you don't realize is already happening, after this break.*)

Hi folks. This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, keeping our eyes on the targets because when you're DELUGED with info and data you've got to really understand the agenda that doesn't break up and get forgotten for years, it carries on regardless and you'll find so many, well, almost all of the big journalists, the big TV presenters, all these people, are members of the Council on Foreign Relations who drafted up the agreements. They ADMITTED that on Canadian television. The CFR drafted up these gradual integrated agreements FOR the governments and they did sign them into law, by they way. Every year they do it; this year is the last year for the last one to be signed and that's still to come.

Often demonized as some type of "conspiracy theory" in mainstream American press, the so-called North American Union proposals have actually existed for some time. In May of 2005, the Council on Foreign Relations

released a document entitled "Building a North American Community" in which it calls for an EU-like (A: *Economic Union*.) integration (A: *A European type*) of Canada, the United States and Mexico.

While the document does not specifically call for the ceding of sovereignty between the three nations (A: *And they never did with the Union either until it was done*.) -- as some vocal opponents of the idea have suggested -- it does recommend the formation of a North American Advisory Council and a multinational inter-parliamentary group to facilitate mutual cooperation.

Though the group originally set out to achieve this goal by 2010, few in mainstream America are even aware of it today.

I'll stop there and go back to the Free Trade Negotiations because when they were doing them, with Brian Mulroney and so on involved in it for Canada, they actually said in McClain's Magazine at the time, that Mr Salinas, that was the president of Mexico at the time, was the MAN FOR THE JOB, to be at the head of the North American Advisory Council which they said at the time was a sort of an EMBRYONIC, PARLIAMENTARY SYSTEM, LIKE THE ECONOMIC UNION. It was so comical because the President of the US also backed this man to be the head. What happened was, about a month later, it was in all the media and most folk have forgot about it, Mr Salinas and his family just disappeared *taking the treasury of Mexico with them* [Alan laughing] and he was gone.

It was amazing because the US and Canada had to bail them out. I can't remember if it was \$55 million or billion dollars to refill the coffers of the Mexican government but that's what we did. We bailed them out. It turned out afterwards that Mr Salinas was living in Montreal, of all places, and no one arrested him for that. That was on CBC News Canada. Then when it came out on the news, he was quiet for a while and turned up in Dublin where he is living happily untouched. They even did a documentary showing the people in Switzerland, the police there who take charge of corruption with the banks, and he said they had been working on trying to find this thread of money, billions of bucks getting taken out of Mexico by this ONE major mafia family that happened to have their guy as the President of Mexico. MR SALINAS, THE MAN FOR THE JOB. That's what they said in McClain's magazine. Mr Salinas is the man for the job; the right man... and he ran off with the treasury.

The CFR's full proposal is available online. [PDF link] (A: *Which I don't think works. It will take you to the CFR site but I've noticed now that it will either download and say CORRUPTED or you've got to buy it. However, if you do a search you will find it elsewhere for download for free. It's amazing the little tricks and games they play isn't it? This is supposed to be the publicly available version, not the internal members' version. There are always 2 versions of everything, you see.*)

"Economic and social citizenship in North America implies the ability of citizens to exert pressure for the implementation of an inclusive economic policy at home and to be engaged in the international economy," wrote CFR member Carlos Heredia. "To the extent that citizens of the three partner countries see that North American integration brings concrete benefits, a new constituency will be galvanized to support these efforts in the years to come."

"How far away are we from that?" Castañeda asked, rhetorically. "Quite far, but so did it seem back in Europe in the 1950's (*A: When they were lying to all the public there, that it was nothing to do with integration.*) and very little time later they came around and understood that **that was [where] their future lay**. My sense is that the Mexican society is voting with its feet. We have a higher share of Mexicans living in the United States than we have ever had in our history. One out of every nine Mexicans, Mexican citizens, people born in Mexico, live in the United States today." (*A: So that's another link I'll put up on my web site at the end of the show.*)

There is also, you'll see on the Google search, one by the Texas Eagle Forum.

CFR's Plan to Integrate the U.S., Mexico and Canada

by Phyllis Schlafly, **July 13, 2005** / EagleForum.org

The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) has just let the cat out of the bag about what's really behind our trade agreements and security partnerships with the other North American countries. A 59-page CFR document spells out a five-year plan (*A: That's what I said, starting in 2005.*) for the "establishment by 2010 of a North American economic and security community" with a common "outer security perimeter." (*A: That was also in the Canadian newspapers under Fortress America.*)

"Community" means integrating the United States with the corruption, socialism, poverty and population of Mexico and Canada. "Common perimeter" means wide-open U.S. borders between the U.S., Mexico and Canada.

"Community" is sometimes called "space" but the CFR goal is clear: "a common economic space ... for all people in the region, a space in which trade, capital, and people flow freely." (*A: That's the British, Royal Institute of International Affairs trade agreements: "free flow of capital and goods and labor."*) The CFR's "integrated" strategy calls for "a more open border for the movement of goods and people." (*Back after this.*)

Hi folks. This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading about the North American Union, something that they keep really out of the mainstream media. I've mentioned before, it goes all the way back to the 80s with the Free Trade Negotiations. That was the first part of it. It's almost like a preamble to everything that comes from the NAFTA Negotiations. You've got to read the early stuff to understand what they were really getting at and where they were going with it. But this article is from the Texas Eagle Forum. No one had heard about it in the States until I played the audio from the CBC and Global Television Canada of what was said at the meeting when the reporters were actually allowed to question the President of the US and the two Prime Ministers that were there. That was completely blacked out from the US, from ALL news services in the US. What they showed you was just a little meeting for a talk at Waco, the ranch, and playing golf but in Canada they showed you a lot more. They also came out in Canada with the Council on Foreign Relations own 10-15 minute spot, for the first time AS the Council on Foreign Relations, not as advisors, and they said that THEY had drafted up these documents. It was their idea; they drafted it up and presented it to the governments to be signed into law. And you think... Do you

vote for the CFR? No. You'll never see a vote for the CFR. That's the real world. That's the PARALLEL GOVERNMENT that Carroll Quigley and Margaret Thatcher talked about. It says here...

This CFR document, called "Building a North American Community," (*A: That's from the CFR.*) asserts that George W. Bush, Mexican President Vicente Fox, and Canadian Prime Minister Paul Martin "committed their governments" to this goal when they met at Bush's ranch and at Waco, Texas on March 23, 2005. The three adopted the "Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America" and assigned "working groups" to fill in the details. (*A: That was also in all the media here, the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North American.*)

It was at this same meeting, grandly called the North American summit, that President Bush pinned the epithet "vigilantes" on the volunteers guarding our border in Arizona. (*A: Because they were the only ones stopping the floods coming up from Latin America.*)

A follow-up meeting was held in Ottawa on June 27, where the U.S. representative, Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff, told a news conference that **"we want to facilitate the flow of traffic across our borders."** The White House issued a statement that the Ottawa report "represents an important **first step** in achieving the goals of the Security and Prosperity Partnership."

The CFR document calls for creating a "North American preference" so that employers can recruit low-paid workers from anywhere in North America. No longer will illegal aliens have to be smuggled across the border; **employers can openly recruit foreigners willing to work for a fraction of U.S. wages.** (*A: The same tactic that was used in Europe and across Europe.*)

The CFR document lays "the groundwork for the freer flow of people within North America." The "common security perimeter" (*A: That was also in the papers here. They called it Fortress America. That was the big headlines in the media in Canada.*) will require us to "harmonize visa and asylum regulations" (*A: They've already done that since 2005.*) with Mexico and Canada, "harmonize entry screening," (*A: They've also done that too because Canada and the US and the FBI and CSIS use the same computers now and share fully all the data.*) and "fully share data about the exit and entry of foreign nationals."

There is a PDF as well. I'll see if I can put it up for you, from the Council on Foreign Relations itself that was supposed to be made public for free. They have their own internal versions, since they are the workers of this grand new world order, socialist system of a planned society. Quite the stuff that goes on.

We get lost, as I say, when you lose track of what's happening, you get bombarded with all this data. A lot of it, really, is irrelevant. You've got to keep your eyes on the target and see where it's moving. Then you get an idea. Then you know what the wars are for. That's when you know why the US and Canada went straight in with Britain. They all went into it together, against the Middle East to standardize the Middle East into the same so-called democratic system, which is anything but

democratic. That's what they mean by democratic, bringing in this private group that runs the world, the parallel government.

Since 2001 and since they first came out right after 2001 on the mainstream asking this question, ARE YOU WILLING TO GIVE UP YOUR PERSONAL FREEDOMS FOR SAFETY AND SECURITY. And odd thing to say, I thought, at the time, when every major television station went into action with the so-called man on the street, with the same question. That was all planned obviously; they all went into action at the same time with that question. That was the plan, you see. How do you get the public to go along with anything? *You declare a form of martial law* and they use force and fear. Plus, remember, going back to the Club of Rome where they say, HOW DO YOU UNITED THE PLANET? WE MUST USE WARFARE TECHNIQUES; PEOPLE GIVE UP THEIR RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS TO WORK TOWARDS A COMMON CAUSE and that's what they chose back in the 70s. That's what they said themselves in their book, **The First Global Revolution**, the big think tank for the UN.

They are using this, you see, to ram all the stuff through. OH, WE'VE GOT TO INTEGRATE FOR 'SECURITY' REASONS, FOR GOODNESS SAKE... AS they are standardizing the Middle East and CAUSING all the problems, they cause all the problems back home. Great strategy isn't it? Just warfare strategy, long-term planning and strategy, geopolitics.

We go further and further with loss of privacy and no one cares. I think lots of the youngsters don't really care. They used to going through being patted down, going through the schools and then they brought in the electronic gates that scan you going through. Then they were doing locker raids, etc, etc and zero tolerance. You couldn't even take a nail file with you or nail clippers and so on and so on, for "weapons." You couldn't even take any pills in for migraines because, OH, THAT WAS DRUGS. We've heard all these ridiculous things that have happened since, you know. You are being TRAINED. You are being trained that the only way that you can live in this world is to have no freedoms whatsoever. You must let Big Brother know EVERYTHING about you 24 hours a day and he has got the right now to do it. That's how you must believe and you must think. What a change around in a few years. What a change around, isn't it?

For centuries people fought for something called freedom, which they often couldn't define except as something *better* than what they had. And here we are giving everything up because of a very clever group of people who are international bankers who move billions across the stock market across the world on a daily basis. Raising countries up, sinking others, running their parallel government, using the world like a doll's house and we are just the little dolls they move around inside of it. And we still go through this farce of voting when they put their own guys in, IN EVERY COUNTRY. Carroll Quigley said that THE PEOPLE AT THE TOP OF ALL PARTIES BELONG TO THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS. They can't lose.

Here is how far it's going now too. Now you've got all your *other* governmental affiliated organizations - this semi public/private deal - even with the school systems, going to incredible lengths to spy on people. This article is from the Mail Online. It's in other newspapers too.

U.S. school used secret laptop webcams to spy on pupils

and parents at home

By Mail Foreign Service / 19th February 2010

A U.S. school district used laptop webcams to spy on students at home, potentially catching them and their families in compromising situations.

Officials in Philadelphia can activate webcams on the computers **without students' knowledge or permission**, a lawsuit alleges. *(A: At least they've got a lawsuit in.)*

Plaintiffs Michael and Holly Robbins suspect the cameras captured students from Harriton High School and family members as they undressed and in other embarrassing situations, it has been alleged.

Harriton High School in Bryn Mawr: A family alleges that the suburban Philadelphia school district used **school-issued laptop webcams** to spy on students at home. *(A: So they GAVE them the laptops. See, HERE IT'S FREE, IT'S FREE... BECAUSE WE LOVE YOU. You see? And the students take them home with them, as they're supposed to do.)*

Lower Merion School District officials said the laptops 'contain a security feature intended to track lost, stolen and missing laptops,' *(A: That's the excuse they dreamed up.)* and that the feature was deactivated yesterday.

'We can categorically state that we are and have always been committed to protecting the privacy of our students,' he said. *(A: They lied; that's obviously what it is, after they tried this out.)*

Tom Halpern, a 15-year-old from Wynnewood, said students are 'pretty disgusted' and have started putting masking tape over their computer webcams and microphones. *(A: That's why they're in there folks. The big boys admitted YEARS ago that they'd been using laptops from the beginning with built-in mikes to listen to you, now they've got the cameras in and they activate them remotely.)*

'This is just bogus,' Halpern said. 'I just think it's really despicable that they have the ability to just watch me all the time.'

The accusations amount to potentially illegal electronic wiretapping, said Witold J. Walczak, legal director of the American Civil Liberties Union of Pennsylvania, which is not involved in the case.

The Robbinses only learned of the alleged webcam images when an assistant high school principal told them their son that school officials thought he had engaged in improper behaviour at home. *(A: Here they are. How many others were they watching... and taping... and laughing over... and doing all kinds of, perhaps, obscene things with, as they voyeured into the homes and private lives of all these people?)*

'School officials cannot, any more than police, enter into the home either electronically or physically without an invitation or a warrant,' Walczak said. *(A: Well, I don't care what they've got in the books. As I've said before and*

I've read stuff in the years gone by where they government admitted they worked with the laptop makers. Most laptops are not made by the brand; it's made on an island off China. I think 70% of them are made in this ONE big factory; all the different brands that you see... one factory. They put these things in with activation codes for governments.)

A school district statement released late yesterday said the tracking feature would not be reactivated 'without express written notification to all students and families.' (A: *Like they didn't do before, right.*)

The affluent district prides itself on its technology initiatives, which include giving laptops to each of the approximately 2,300 students at its two high schools. (A: *I wonder if they were spying and how long they've been spying on these 2,300 students and their families? Hmm?*)

That's you're brave new world; we're all here. Mind you, a lot of folk won't mind, OH, WELL, IT'S JUST LIKE, YOU KNOW, A REALITY SHOW and you dance in front of the computer naked or whatever else you're supposed to do, as you emulate these little fools they put out FOR you to emulate and it works very well. Monkey see, monkey do. Very simple isn't it? Every child now wants to be a star and cavort in front of the camera. Why do you think they GAVE you these reality shows? What's the message in them? I think we all know.

You've got to understand socialism too. I remember seeing an old documentary from Germany, pre World War II Germany about socialism and about how they were building all these houses for the factory workers. The exact same row housing as they had in Britain. Then they showed you how at school they were going through these intense physical exercises - and this was the day when eugenics was out in the open and they discussed making Superman, an idea that Hitler got from George Bernard Shaw and the Fabian Society and all the other eugenicists - you saw the children in fields, soccer fields, doing the in-out stuff and the X'es as they jumped up and down all in unison. They love unison; they love everybody working together and *moving* together, you see, these totalitarian types. Then it flashed to one in Britain; how is Britain coping in the 30s with all the unemployment? Well, they were doing the same thing. They were building more socialist houses, all joined together. Then they showed you the children in Britain doing the same exercises and the same soccer fields. I realized that this is obviously socialism at work. It doesn't matter if it's Britain or Germany, this is socialism.

It doesn't stop there, just having you all jump up and down together. I remember a definition, when they gave the definition of China being the model state for the world. It was at some special exhibition in Beijing and the UN was there talking and that's when they branded it the model state that we've all to copy, with one child family policy and total state intrusion and all that kind of stuff... *and take it as normal*. They talked about how they all moved together, as all these Chinese dancers made a sort of spiral pyramid on top of each other, these acrobats and all that. They love this unison and everybody working together like a beehive, bees in the beehive. That's what totalitarian freaks love. That's what they want. That's what they want under this worldwide socialist state, us all doing exercised in the fields together and going back to our tiny little houses that we're supposed to be privileged to have, as we pay through the nose for the taxes for them and so on

and the rents.

Here is an article of the next step to it; Britain is the flagship for this, remember. This is where the Royal Institute of International Affairs was BORN. This is where their Masonic Royal Society has every famous player that will then guide your thoughts along the road to sciences.

Mother's fury as nanny state brands her healthy daughter, 5, 'fat and at risk of heart disease'

By Jaya Narain / 18th February 2010 / Mail Online

Sports mad, always full of energy and certainly not fat, five-year-old Lucy Davies' parents had no concern about her health. *(A: Now, I've read an article before about a previous one that this has happened to. This is just another one.)*

But when she was examined at school as part of a Government initiative to turn the rising tide of obesity, they were shocked to be told that she was 'overweight and unhealthy.'

They said Lucy may have an increased risk of heart disease, diabetes, high blood pressure and cancer as her body mass index (BMI) was outside **recommended** guidelines by just **one** per cent. *(A: Remember that last one I read. I was about a 2-year-old boy I think and he was 1 pound over the recommended limit, even though he had a big frame and all the rest of it. You can't HAVE different sizes. You've got to be standard, you understand, in the new Superman. Remember the Superman idea; we're all Supermen, building the new Superman. The new Soviet Man or the new Superman, it depends on how you want to take the so-called Nazi or Sovietized views, which are both socialists, by the way. I wonder if they still measure their skull index and stuff?)*

Lucy is 3ft 9ins tall *(A: A 5 year old, right.)* and weighs 3stone 9lbs - which is itself within the recommended healthy range for a five-year-old child.

Her mother, Susan Davies, 38, said she was shocked by the letter she and husband Tony were sent about their daughter's weight. *(A: An official reprimand sort of idea.)*

'I couldn't believe what I was reading,' she said.

Lucy is five-years-old and not fat in the slightest. She shouldn't even be thinking about her weight at her age.

'I want her to be running around playing and having fun, not worrying about what she looks like.'

National Child Measurement Programme *(A: National Child Measurement Programme, EUGENICS.)* is being carried out in schools across the UK and results are calculated by taking into account height, weight and age. *(A: Bureaucrats, bureaucrats, bureaucrats, eh?)*

Mrs Davies, a mother-of-four from Poole, Dorset, received the letter which said: 'The results suggest your child is overweight.'

It added that this can have 'implications on health and wellbeing' and listed a catalogue of serious medical conditions her daughter may later suffer from. *(A: ...later on. So, here we are. Can't you understand, ONE GROUP RUNS THE WORLD and they've all planned it, a socialist totalitarianism. Back with more after this break.)*

Hi folks. This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, just pointing out that the socialism they push is not the one that most folk think of. It's not there to serve you. It certainly takes enough money back from taxes and gives very little back in return. There is a caller from Ireland there, Derek. Is Derek on the line?

Derek: Hi. I would just like to say I'll get a donation out next week and I'm looking forward to getting your **Cutting Through** books. My question is, you know getting information out to people, you can do it through documentaries or you could do it through satire.

Alan: Yes. Satire is good.

Derek: I noticed in the last month especially, with the Superbowl ad on the green police and they are showing you the police state and are in your face showing you what's going to happen with all these climate crimes. Now, a year ago I would have thought that that would have been good, but I seen the reaction from my brother and he wouldn't have a clue about it, he thought it was funny. So in doing satire, you have to be careful in the way that it's done, that wouldn't have the opposite effect of almost pacifying the people you are trying to inform.

Alan: It's unfortunate too because a person who is totally conditioned and accepts the reality that's being downloaded into them, sometimes satire is the only way to break through, without blows, without coming to blows. Satire at least can cross that and get a bit of a laugh and maybe get a topic mentioned. I've seen people try to get their topic across straight off the bat with information and they almost come to blows because you are touching someone else's conditioned reality. They become defensive and they'll really stand up and try to defend it thinking that all their thoughts and their ideas and opinions are actually their own.

Derek: There is definitely a knowledge but I notice that sometimes that the elite use satire that I would've thought would be a good way of waking people up but they have this spin in their own way, that the people watching it think it's funny; they think the police state is funny, because of the way the elite have used the satire themselves. So if you are doing it, it's a kind of a thin line that you have to be careful of, not having the opposite effect you're looking for.

Alan: That's right. You do. People are very touchy. People truly will defend their indoctrinations and they'll become very, very defensive but sometimes a little bit of humor is a good way to test someone out, just touching on something. Most folk won't respond unless - I'm not kidding, unfortunately - the taxation, the laws or something is really infringing on their own freedoms themselves and they are feeling the pinch themselves. That's when they become interested; it's almost

when it's too late to do anything about it. I know exactly what you mean. It's tough going out to the 'unconverted,' you might say, and starting from scratch.

Derek: I think at this stage I was going to work on a documentary but if you are trying to get to the people who are unaware and basically asleep, the only real way seems to be satire but it would have to done in a way that they not defend themselves but somehow it makes them realize that you're basically talking of them being the idiots or them being imbeciles... of the story.

Alan: That's true. It's all techniques. Eventually you get practice in it, the more you do approach people. Eventually, you can almost suss out their particular conditioning and apply different techniques according to the individual, making it more personal, down to their level where it's going to affect them personally. That's the way to do it. Satire can certainly get through if we don't overdo it with satire. Thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Building a North American Community-CFR](#)

[- PDF of Above](#)

[North American Union](#)

[CFR-Plans to Integrate the US, Canada and Mexico](#)

[Schools Spying on Pupils at Home using Secret Laptop Remote Activation](#)

[Socialism, Eugenics and the Creation of the Master Race-Nanny State Brands healthy 5yr.-old Fat-by 1% Over](#)

Transcribed by Diana

--

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS	"Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Volumes 1, 2, 3	Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)
CDs	Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:	& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)

DVDs

"Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"